

Additional Note to be attached to Clerk Decision date 10 July 2025.

Please note, the RoR hearing was held on 10 July 2025 by telephone due to difficulties I had in contacting Andrey directly.

Given the passage of time, Andrey could not recall the detail on the day, so we agreed to take the written submission originally provided. (Note this was also in the name of his Team, but for this event they neither submitted the entry, nor signed on at the event, and thus I could only hear from Andrey).

The main submission is that Andrey was not at the hearing, which he stated should be as provided for in NCR Ch2. App3. Art1.9-11. However, I explained that on the day following the in race drive through penalty, I requested to view the in car footage. In my opinion this gave an indication that I would like to consider the incident further and so I invoked Right of Review as provided for by Ch2. App10. Art1.3. The footage showed more compelling view which I then wished to hold a hearing with the driver concerned.

At this time I requested that Andrey Borodin come to race control, but I was advised by the Championship Coordinator that he had already left the venue. Thus I was mindful of NCR Ch6. App1. Art2.6. that requires that the competitor must remain available at an event until any protest period relating to their event.....has elapsed. And if they had left then judicial action can be heard in their absence. I thus called for the team manager and co-driver to come to race control. They also confirmed that Andrey had left the venue.

I showed them the footage and explained that I believed the drive through penalty should be replaced by a disqualification of Andrey for causing a collision as provided by Ch12 App7. Art1.8. A decision sheet was completed which was forwarded to Andrey via his team.

Andrey then also discussed the incident, which, as I do not believe there is new and significant element, I have not discussed within this decision.

Taking everything into consideration I am satisfied that the matter was dealt with in accordance with the NCRs and as such i do not believe that any significant, or relevant new element has been discovered.

Note: NCR Ch10 does not provide an appeal route if it is not accepted as a significant or relevant new element has been established.

Signed

Andy Stevens

Clerk of the Course (87966)